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#### Abstract

The system of equations of the nonlinear plate theory contains an intrinsic small parameter $\varepsilon^{z}$ (the relative plate thinness). In the case when only the tensile stresses are developed in the corresponding membrane ( $\varepsilon=0$ ), it has been shown by asymptotic methods that for small $\varepsilon$ there exists a state of equilibrium in which the plate behaves like a membrane everywhere except in the narrow region near the boundary where the edge effect takes place. A method of obtaining this solution has been constructed at the same time. The general results obtained are then particularized for the cases of an axisymmetrical plate and a plate of arbitrary shape subjected to tensile stresses on the contour.


1. On the formulation of the problem, We consider a system of nonlinear differential equations of the theory of flexible plates [I] due to Kármán

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta^{2} F+1 / 2[w, w]=0, \quad \mid \varepsilon^{2} \Delta^{2} w-[w, F]-q=0  \tag{1.1}\\
\mid[w, F] \equiv w_{x x} F_{y y}+w_{y y} F_{x x}-2 w_{x y} F_{x y}  \tag{1.2}\\
\left(w=\frac{W}{\sqrt{E}}, \quad \varepsilon^{2}=\frac{E h^{2}}{12\left(1-v^{2}\right)}, \quad q=q_{1} \frac{\sqrt{E}}{h} \quad 0<v<0.5\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $F$ is the stress function, $W$ is the deflection of points of the middle surface. The quantity $\epsilon^{2}$ characterizes the relative plate thinness, $h$ is the plate thickness, $E$ is Young's modulus and $\nu$ is Poisson's ratio, $q_{1}(x, y)$ is the magnitude of the external load which is acting along the normal to the plate surface. It is assumed that $q_{i}(x, y)$ is a sufficiently smooth function.

Let a plate occupy a bounded region $D$ with a sufficiently smooth contour $\Gamma$. Moreover, it is assumed that on the contour*

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{gather*}
m l_{1}=0, \quad W_{3}+\cdots  \tag{1.1}\\
-T(A) \geqslant 0, \quad F_{n+1} \quad S(A) \quad(.1=\Gamma) \tag{1.5}
\end{gather*}
$$
\]

Here $n$ and $T$ are the nomal and tangential directions on the bounary, and $F_{\ldots}(A)$ and $F_{n}(A)$ are, respectively, the normal and tangential components of the external traction applied to the plate contour. It is assumed that the system of forces applied to the contour of the plate satisfies the conditions of equilibrium and compatibility. Then the existence of the so: ution of the problem (1.1) to (1.5) follows from the results of [2 and 3].

Along with the problem (1.1) to (1.5) we will consider a "degenerate" problem (on the equilibrium of a membrane)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{2} F_{0}+1 / 2\left[w_{0}, w_{0}\right]=0, \quad-\left|w_{0}, F_{0}\right|-q=0 \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.w_{0}\right|_{\Gamma}=0, \quad F_{0,5, \Gamma} \cdots T(A), \quad F_{0, n-\Gamma}=S(A) \quad(.1 \in \Gamma) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here as well as everywhere hencetorth the indices following a comma designate differentiation with respect to corresponding variables.

Consider the problem of the asymtotic behavior of the solutions of (1.1) to (1.5) when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. In the case of a circular-symmetrically loaded plate with various support conditions, asymptotic representations were constructed for a symmetric solution in [4 to 7], and it was established that the solution is close to the solution of the degenerate problem ( $\varepsilon=0$ ) everywhere. exvept in the narrow vicinity of the boundary, where the edge effect takes place. In [8] Fife has investigated the asymptotic expansion of the solution of (1.1) to (1.5) for the case of a rigidly built-in plate of arbitrary shape, subjected to uniform normal extension on the contour

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{t+1}=\sigma=\text { const, } \quad F_{n=1} \cdots 0 \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the same way as in the case of ridial symmetry, it was established that for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ the solution of the problem (1.1) to (1.4) and (1.8) converges uniformly to the solution of the "degenerate" problem everywhere except in the close vicinity of the boundary. The quantity $P \cdots{ }_{f} \sigma^{-*} \cdot 2$ is here assumed to be sufficiently small, which permits the use of the method of successive approximations, together with the existence of solutions of the two problems, to prove their uniqueness. On the other hand, it is well known [9 to 11] that a loaded plate or a shell has, generally speaking, more than one form of equilibrium.

Hence, the question naturally arises which of the solutions of problem (1.1) to (1.5) are "close", In the above sense, to the solutions of problem (1.6) and (1.7), when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

In the membrane only the tensile stresses are developed *. Therefore,

* In the 11 terature such membranes are referred to as nonmetallic (see $[20$ and 21]).
the solutions of problem (1.6) and (1.7) which are mechanically meaningful are those which at every point of the region $D$ satisfy conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{0 x x}=\sigma_{y}>0, \quad F_{0, y y}=\sigma_{x}>0, \quad F_{0, x y}=-\tau, \sigma_{x} \sigma_{y}-\tau^{2}>0 \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In what follows such solutions are referred to as positive. It is natural to look for solutions of problem (1.1) to (1.5) which are close to the positive solutions of problem (1.6) and (1.7). We will consider solutions of problem (1.1) to (1.5) for which a condition of the form (1.9) is satisfied, and will refer to them as membrane solutions.

It has been shown in the present paper, that if the positive solution of problem (1.6) and (1.7) exists, then the membrane solution for the plate also exists. It is unique (Theorem 3.2); asymptotic expansions are constructed for it, and estimates of errors are established for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ (lhecuem 3.3). Namely, when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ the membrane solutions become positive solutions everywhere, except in the close vicinity of the boundary where the edge ef fect takes place. The proof of the above facts is furnished by constructint the asymptotic expansions of the solution of problem (1.1) to (1.5), analogous to those obtained in [6 and 7] for the case of radial symmetry (Section 2), and by applying the Newton's method which was developed for the operator equations by Kantorovich [12].

It becomes obvious from what has been said above, that the existence of the positive solution for a membrane is an important factor. Such existence can be established in a number of cases. For instance, it exists (a)in the case of a symmetric plate (Theorems 4.1 and 4.2) and shells of revolution [13], (b) in the case (1.6) and (1.8) and in analogous more general ones (Theorems 4.3 to 4.5 ). In the general case of problem (1.6) and (1.7) there are no positive solutions. These questions are discussed in Section 4.

Let us point out that, unlike in [8], here the argumentation of the asymptotic expansions is not related to the uniqueness of solution (corollaries of Theorem 4.1). Moreover, in Section 2 we consider a case in which the edge effect is described by boundary layers of a fractional order. This is the case of a rigidly built-in plate whose contour is free of stresses. In the general case, when problem (1.6) and (1.7) does not have the positive solution, the degeneration is of a more complicated character. One of the examples of this nature is considered by Fredrichs and Stoker [14].

All arguments and proofs given below can be easily transferred to the case of shells of constant curvatures.
2. Construotion of the asymptotio expansion. Let us introduce the following notation. Let the vector $\mathbf{V} \equiv(F, w)$ be the solution, and $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ [V] be the left-hand part of the system (1.1). For the solution of (1.1) we construct asymptotic expansions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
F-\sum_{s=1}^{n-2} \varepsilon \Gamma_{s} \div \sum_{s=0}^{n-2} \varepsilon^{n} h_{s}^{0} \div z_{n}^{(1)}, \quad u-\sum_{s=0}^{n} \varepsilon^{s} u_{s}+\sum_{s=0}^{n} \varepsilon^{s} g_{s}^{0}+z_{n}^{(2)} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The functions $F_{1}, w_{1}$ are obtained by means of the first iteration procen: [15]. Namely, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{V}_{n} \equiv\left(F^{\prime \prime}, u^{\prime \prime}\right), \quad F^{n}=\sum_{s=0}^{n} \varepsilon^{s} F_{s}, \quad w^{\prime n}=\sum_{s=0}^{n} \varepsilon^{s} w_{s} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and require that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}_{1}\left[\mathbf{V}_{n}\right]=O\left(\varepsilon^{n+1}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting the coefficients at $\varepsilon^{0}, \epsilon^{1}, \ldots, \epsilon^{\text {a }}$ in (2.3) equal to zero we obtain the system of equations (1.6) and (1.7) which yields $F_{0}$ and $w_{0}$, and for the determination of $F_{k}, w_{k}$ we obtain the system

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta^{2} F_{\delta}+1 / 2 \sum_{k+m=s}\left[w_{k}, w_{m}\right]=0 \quad \Delta^{2} w_{s-2}-\sum_{k+m=s}\left[F_{k}, w_{m}\right]=0  \tag{2.4}\\
\left(w_{-1}=0, \quad s=1,2, \ldots, n+2\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

with boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.w_{s}\right|_{\Gamma}=0,\left.\quad F_{s, \tau \tau}\right|_{\Gamma}=B_{s}^{(1)},\left.\quad F_{s, n \tau}\right|_{\Gamma}=B_{s}^{(2)} \quad(s=0,1, \ldots, n+2) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $B_{s}^{(i)}(i=1,2)$ are so far unkaown functions. The functions $F$, $w^{\prime} \quad(s=0,1, \ldots)$ do not satisfy all the boundary conditions (1.4) and (1.5) and, consequently, the difference $V-V_{n}$ is not small near the boundary $\Gamma$. The inconsistencies which arise in the fuifillment of the boundary conditions (1.4) and (1.5) are compensated by functions of the boundary-layer type $h_{\text {: }}^{\text {a }}$ and $g_{i}{ }^{\circ}$, which are determined by means of the second iteration process [15].

Namely, we look for the difference $V-V_{n}$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
F-F^{n}=\sum_{m=0}^{n} \varepsilon^{m} h_{m}, \quad w-w^{n}=\sum_{m=0}^{n} \varepsilon^{m} g_{m} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to determine the functions $h_{n}, g_{m}$, it is necessary to change from the coordinates $x, y$ to the local coordinates. Let us introduce a coordinate system $\rho, \varphi$ in the vicinity of the boundary $\Gamma$; namely, we construct a system of normals, i.e. vectors $A R$ of length $\eta>0$, drawn from points $A$ of the arc $\Gamma$ into the domain $D$, so that the vector AR forms a right angle with the tangent to the arc $\Gamma$ at point $A$. If $\eta$ is sufficiently small the normals will not intersect each other. The coordinate $\rho$ of the point $N$ on the normal $A R$ is equal to the distance $A N$, and $\varphi$ is the arc length $O A$, where $O$ is some point of the arc $\Gamma$, for which $\varphi=0$.

We substitute (2.6) into (1.1), bearing in mind (2.3), and in the resulting expression we pass to the local coordinates

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{s=0}^{n} \varepsilon^{s} \triangle^{2} h_{s}+1 / 2 \sum_{k+m=s}^{n} \varepsilon^{s}\left[w_{m}, g_{k}\right]+1 / 2 \sum_{k+m=s}^{n} \varepsilon^{s}\left[g_{m}, g_{k}\right]=O\left(\varepsilon^{n+1}\right) \\
\sum_{s=0}^{n} \varepsilon^{s+2} \triangle^{2} g_{s}-\sum_{k+m=s}^{n} \varepsilon^{s}\left[F_{m}, g_{k}\right]-\sum_{k+m=s}^{n} \varepsilon^{s}\left[w_{m}, h_{k}\right]-\sum_{k+m=s}^{n} \varepsilon^{s}\left[g_{k}, h_{1 n}\right]= \\
=O\left(\varepsilon^{n+1}\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

liere

$$
\begin{gathered}
g_{x_{i} x_{k}}=g_{\rho \rho \rho} \rho_{x_{i}} \rho_{x_{k}}+g_{\varphi \rho}\left(\varphi_{x_{i}} \rho_{x_{k}}+\varphi_{x_{k}} \rho_{x_{i}}\right)+g_{\varphi \varphi} \varphi_{x_{i}} \varphi_{x_{k}}+g_{\rho} \rho_{x_{i} x_{k}}+g_{\varphi} \varphi_{x_{i} \cdot{ }^{\prime} k} \\
\left(i, k=1,2, x_{1}=x, x_{2}=y\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

In the new coordinate system the operator $\Delta^{2}$ will be of the same order, but will have variable coefficients. Expanding these coefficients into Taylor series with respect to $\rho$ in the vicinity of $\rho=0$ and making the
substitution $p=\epsilon t$, we obtain

$$
\Delta^{2} u=\varepsilon^{-4}\left(\frac{\partial^{4} u}{\partial t^{4}}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \varepsilon^{i} R_{i} u+\varepsilon^{N+1} R_{N+1} u\right)
$$

Here $R_{i}(i \leqslant N)$ and $R_{N+1}$ are linear differential operators, whose respective coefficients are of the form

$$
\sum_{j \leqslant i} f_{j}(\varphi) t^{j}, \quad \sum_{j \leqslant N+1} d_{j}(\rho, \varphi) t^{j}
$$

where $d_{1}(\rho, \varphi)$ are functions of $\rho, \varphi$ independent of $\varepsilon$. Furthermore, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{m}=\sum_{l=0}^{N} F_{m l} \rho^{l}, \quad w_{m}=\sum_{l=0} w_{m l} \rho^{l} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the corresponding expansions of the functions $F_{\square}$ and $w_{\mathbf{i}}$ into Taylor series in the vicinity of $\rho=0$. Now, in (2.7) and (2.8) we set $\rho=\varepsilon t$, we substitute (2.8) into (2.7) and set the coefficients at the same powers of , $\varepsilon$ equal to zero. For the determination of $h_{t}, g_{4}$ we obtain systems of linear differential equations of the fourth order with coefficients depending on $\varphi$. The boundary conditions for the functions $h_{s}$, $a_{\text {s }}$ when $t=0$ are determined by the values of the difference $V-V_{n}$ on the boundary $\Gamma$ for the corresponding powers of $\epsilon^{*}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.g_{s}\right|_{\rho=0}=0,\left.\quad \frac{\partial g_{s}}{\partial \rho}\right|_{\rho=0}=-\left.\frac{\partial w_{s}}{\partial n}\right|_{\Gamma} \quad(s=0,1, \ldots .) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and when $t=\infty$, from the condition or existence of the boundary layer, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\partial g_{s}}{\partial t}\right|_{t=\infty}=\left.\frac{\partial h_{s}}{\partial t}\right|_{t=\infty}=\left.h_{s}\right|_{t=\infty}=0 \quad(s=0,1,2, \ldots) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then from (2.7) for $h_{0}$ and $h_{1}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{4} h_{i}}{\partial t^{4}}=0,\left.\quad h_{i}\right|_{t=\infty}=\left.\frac{\partial h_{i}}{\partial t}\right|_{t=\infty}=0 \quad(i=0,1) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{0}=h_{1} \equiv 0 \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we determine the functions $B_{s}^{(i)}(\varphi)$, by setting the coefficients at $\varepsilon^{*}$ ( $s=0,1, \ldots, n+2$ ) equal to zero in Expressions

$$
\left.\sum_{s=0}^{n+2} \varepsilon^{s}\left(B_{s}^{(1)}+h_{s, \tau \tau}\right)\right|_{\rho=0}=T(\varphi),\left.\quad \sum_{s=0}^{n+2} \varepsilon^{s}\left(B_{s}^{(2)}+h_{s, \rho \tau}\right)\right|_{\rho=0}=S(\varphi)
$$

In perticular, from (2.12) we find that $B_{0}^{(1)}=T(\varphi), B_{0}^{(2)}=S(\varphi)$. From here actually follows the correctness of choice of the boundary condition for the positive solution of problem (1.6) and (1.7).

Setting the coefficient at $\epsilon^{-a}$ equal to zero in the relation which is obtained from the second expression (2.7) in conjunction with (2.9) to (2.12)
leads to Equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial^{4} g_{0}}{\partial t^{4}}-a \frac{\partial^{2} g_{0}}{\partial t^{2}}=0  \tag{2.13}\\
\left.g_{0}\right|_{t=0}=0,\left.\quad \frac{\partial g_{0}}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0}=-\left.\varepsilon \frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial n}\right|_{\mathrm{I}},\left.\quad \frac{\partial \xi_{0}}{\partial t}\right|_{t==\infty}=0 \tag{2.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $a$ is a function depending on the coordinate $\varphi$ and defined by the relation

$$
a=\left[F_{0, x x} \rho_{y}^{2}+F_{0, y y} \rho_{x}^{2}-2 F_{0, x y} \rho_{x y} \rho_{y} \|_{\Gamma}=\left[\left(\rho_{x} \varphi_{y}-\rho_{y, y} \varphi_{x}\right)^{2} F_{t}\right]_{\Gamma}=T(\varphi)\right.
$$

In the case when $T(\varphi)>0$, from (2.13) and (2.14) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial g_{0}}{\partial \rho}=-w_{00} \exp -\frac{\sqrt{T}(\varphi) \rho}{\varepsilon}, \quad w_{00}=\left.\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial n}\right|_{\Gamma} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

i. $\epsilon . \quad \partial_{0} / \partial \rho$ is a function of the boundary-layer type of order zero [15]. From (2.15) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{0}(0)=-\frac{\varepsilon u_{0}}{\sqrt{T}(\varphi)}\left[\exp \cdots \frac{\sqrt{T}(\varphi) \rho}{E}-1\right] \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, from the first expression (2.7) in exactly the same way we ubtain the equation for $h_{2}$

where $g_{0}$ is determined in (2.16). Integrating we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{2}=\varepsilon\left[c_{1}(t, \varphi) e^{-\dot{2} \sqrt{T(\varphi)} t}-c_{2}(l, \varphi) e^{-\eta / \overline{T(\Phi) t}}\right] \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $c_{1}(t, \varphi)$ and $c_{2}(t, \varphi)$ are polynomials of the second order with respect to $t$. We determine the functions $g_{1}(s=1, \ldots, n)$ from equations which have the form of (2.13) and (2.14), but are nonhomogeneous, and the functions $h_{s}$ are found by means of term by term integration of expressions of the form $p_{0}(t, \varphi) \exp (-k(\varphi) t)$, where $k(\varphi)>0$, and $p_{1}(t, \varphi)$ is a polynomial in $t$ of order not higher than $s$. Using the method of mathematical induction in a manner similar to that of [15], it can be easily shown that $h_{\text {a }}$ and $g$, are the functions of the boundary-layer type of integgral order. Finally, let us determine the functions $h_{1}^{\circ}$ and $g_{a}^{\circ}(\varepsilon=1$, $2, \ldots$ ) in Formulas (2.1). To that end we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{s}^{\circ}=\psi(\rho / \delta) h_{s}, \quad g_{*}==\psi(\rho / \delta) g_{s} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\psi(\eta)$ is the smoothing-out function (equal to 1 for $\eta \leqslant 1 / 3$ and to O for $1 \geqslant 2 / 3$ ).

Thus the process of constructing an asymptotio expansion is reduced to the following. We find the positive solution $F_{0}$, $w_{0}$ of problem (1.6) and (1.7) and from (2.13) and (2.14) we determine $\sigma_{0}$. Then from (2.4) we successively find $F_{2}, w_{0}(s=1,2, \ldots)$, and from the norihomogeneous equations of the form (2.13) and (2.14) we find $\theta_{1}$. (These equations have not
been written down because they are very cumbersome)
Let us now construct the asymptotic expansion for the case when

$$
T(A)=S(A)=0
$$

1.e. When the edge of the plate is free of stresses. As is well known, in that case the boundary conditions for the function f can be ifauced t, the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(A)=F_{n}(A)=0 \quad(A \boxminus \Gamma) \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $T(A)=0$ Equations (2.13) and (2.14) are iricompatible. Thic micani that the boundary layers of integer order witr respect to f are unsuitatl: for the description of the edge effect phenomena, and therefore terms cf higher order with respect to $\epsilon$ must be taken into account. Let ue aerain consider Equation (2.7) and let us write down the following expression in local coordinates in more detail

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{m+k=s}\left[F_{m}, g_{k}\right]_{(x, y)}=\sum_{m+k=s}\left\{\left(\rho_{x} \varphi_{y}-\rho_{y} \varphi_{x}\right)^{2}\left[F_{m}, g_{k}\right]_{(\rho, \varphi)}+g_{k, \rho \rho}\left[\left(\rho_{x x_{i} \rho_{y}}^{2}+\right.\right.\right. \\
\left.\left.\left.+\rho_{y y} \rho_{x}^{2}-2 \rho_{x y} \rho_{x} \rho_{y}\right) F_{m, \rho}+\left(\varphi_{x x} \rho_{y}^{2}+\varphi_{y y} \rho_{x}^{2}-2 \varphi_{x y} \rho_{x} \rho_{y}\right) F_{m, \varphi}\right]-1\right\} \\
\left(F_{m}=\sum_{l=0}^{N} F_{m l} \rho^{l}\right) \tag{2.21}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here under $L$ we include terms which contain lower-order derivative ut the function $g_{k}$ with respect to $\rho$. Furtrermore, we set $\mu=\varepsilon^{2 / 3}$ and in (2.7) and (2.21) we make a substitution $\dot{\rho}^{\circ}=\mu t$. Exactly as in the fentral case, we find that $h_{0}=h_{1}=0$ and $B_{0}{ }^{(i)}=B_{1}{ }^{(i)}=0(i=1,2)$. Since $B_{1}{ }^{(i)}=0$ we deduce from (2.4) and (2.5) that for $s=1 \quad F_{1}=w_{1} \equiv 0$. Now, in order to determine $g_{0}$ we collect the terms at $\mu^{-1}$, and bearinu in mind that $F_{1}=w_{1}=0$ everywhere in $D$, and

$$
F_{00, \varphi}=F_{00, \varphi \varphi}=F_{00, p \varphi}=F_{00, \varphi \varphi \rho}=F_{00, \varphi}=0
$$

on the contour $\Gamma$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{4} g_{0}}{\partial t^{4}}-a_{1} t F_{0, \rho \rho} \frac{\partial^{2} g_{0}}{\partial t^{2}}=0 \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{1}=\left.\left(\rho_{x x} \rho_{y}^{2}+\rho_{y y} \rho_{x}^{2}-2 \rho_{x y} \rho_{x} \rho_{y}\right)\right|_{\Gamma} \\
\left.g_{0}\right|_{t=0}=0,\left.\quad \frac{\partial g_{0}}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0}=-\left.\mu \frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial n}\right|_{\Gamma},\left.\quad \frac{\partial g_{0}}{\partial t}\right|_{t=\infty}=0 \tag{2.23}
\end{gather*}
$$

If $F_{0, \rho p} a_{1}=l(\varphi)>0$, then the solution of problem (2.22) and (2.23) can be obtained in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial g_{0}}{\partial t_{1}}=-\frac{6 \mu}{\pi} w_{00}(0, \varphi) \int A_{1}\left(-t_{1}\right) d t_{1}, \quad w_{00}-\left.\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial n}\right|_{\Gamma} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here [16]

$$
A_{1}\left(-t_{1}\right)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \cos \left(\tau^{3}+t_{1} \tau\right) d \tau, \quad t_{1}=(3 l(\varphi))^{1 / s}(t>0)
$$

The asymptotic representation of $A_{1}(-t)$ for large values of $t$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}(-t)=1 / 2 \sqrt{\pi}(3 t)^{-1 / 4} \exp \left[-2(1 / 3 t)^{3 / 2}\right]\left(1+O\left(t^{-3 / 3}\right)\right), \quad t>0 \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us note that in the case of a circular, symmetrically loaded, rigidly built-in plate (the contour is free of stresses) it is easy to show that $1(\varphi)>0$ [7]. Indeed, in that case $\rho=1-r, r^{2}=x^{2}+y^{2} \leqslant 1$ and $a_{1}=-1$. It remains to show that $F_{00, p p}<0$. That follows directly from Formula (4.10) for $T=0$ and $r=1$.

We have

$$
F_{00, p p}=-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\varphi^{2}(\tau) \tau}{v^{2}} d \tau<0 \quad[\varphi(1)=0]
$$

It has been established in this Section, that if (1.9) is fulfilled on the contour (which in turn implies the inequality $F_{\tau t}(A)>0(A \in \Gamma)$ ), then the asymptotic expansions of solution (2.1) can be formally constructed. Below it will be shown that the existence of the positive solution is the sufficient condition for the existence of the membrane solution, for which the asymptotic expansions are valid. At the same time the estimates of errors for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ will be established.
3. Juatifioation of the asjuptotio expanaions. Bristance of the membrane solution. . Let us introduce function spaces.

1. The space $L_{i}(D)$, composed of functions summable with the power $p>1$ and with the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{L_{p}}=\left(\int_{D}|f|^{p} d x d y\right)^{1 / P} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the vector-function $V \equiv(F, w)$, is considered, we will assume that $F, w \in L_{2}(D)$ if each of its components $V \in L_{2}(D)$, and we will define the norm of $V$ by the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\mathbf{V}\|_{L_{2}}^{2}=\|F\|_{L_{2}}^{2}+\|w\|_{L_{2}}^{2} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. The space $H$ of functions $f$ which satisfy the boundary conditions (1.4) and which possess in the domain $D$ the generalized derivatives of the order $1=4$ which belong to $L_{2}$ with the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{H}=\left(\int_{D}^{4} \sum_{k=0}^{4} \sum_{m+n=k}\left|\frac{\partial^{k} f}{\partial x^{m} \partial y^{n}}\right| d x d y\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the vector-function $V \equiv(F, w)$ is such that its components $F, w \in H$, then we will say that $V \in F^{F}$ and we will associate $V \in H$ with the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|V\| \frac{2}{H}=\|E\| \frac{2}{H}+\|w\|_{H}^{2} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. The space $C^{(m)}$ of functions $f$ continuously dirferentiable $m$ times right up to the contour. The norm in $C^{(m)}$ is defined by Formula

$$
\|f\|_{C^{(m)}}=\sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ \alpha+\beta=k}}^{m} \max \left|\frac{\partial^{k} f}{\partial x^{\alpha} \partial y^{\beta}}\right|+\max |f|
$$

Let $f$ be an arbitrary, four times continuously differentiable function, which satisfies the conditions (1.5) on $\Gamma$. We set

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}=F-f, \quad w_{1}=w \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (1.1) to (1.5) are reduced to the problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& \triangle^{2} F_{1}+1 / 2\left[w_{1}, w_{1}\right]+\triangle^{2} f=0  \tag{3.6}\\
& \varepsilon^{2} \triangle^{2} w_{1}-\left[w_{1}, F_{1}\right]-\left[w_{1}, f\right]-q=0
\end{align*}
$$

with homogeneous boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{1}(A)=w_{1, n}(A)=F_{1}(A)=F_{1, n}(A)=0 \quad(A \in \Gamma) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We look upon problem (3.6) and (3.7) as a functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}[\mathrm{V}]=0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\mathbf{V} \equiv\left(F_{1}, w_{1}\right)$ and has been introduced into (3.5), and the operator $P$ is defined by the left-hand part of the system with (3.6). It is easy to show the c the operator $\boldsymbol{P}$ acts from the space $H$ upon the space $L_{a}$,

For the presentation below it is convenient to introduce the following designations into (2.1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{k}=F-z_{k}^{(1)}, \quad \psi_{k}=w-z_{k}^{(2)} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.1. The following estimates* are valid for $\varphi_{k}$ and $\psi_{x}$ $\triangle^{2} \varphi_{k}+1 / 2\left[\varphi_{k}, \psi_{k}\right]=O\left(\varepsilon^{k+1}\right), \quad \varepsilon^{2} \triangle^{2} \psi_{k}-\left[\varphi_{k}, \psi_{k}\right]-q=O\left(\varepsilon^{k+1}\right)$

We will omit the detailed proof and will only note that to obtain the estimates ( 3.10 ) one has to substitute the values of $\varphi_{k}$, $\psi_{k}$ into the lefthand part of (1.1) and make two separate estimates: one in the vicinity of the boundary, in the narrow $\operatorname{strip} D_{8}(\rho<8)$ and the other inside the region, i.e. in $D-D_{\delta}$. The estimate (3.10) for the region $D-D_{8}$ follows directly from (2.3) if one remembers that functions $h_{i}$ and $g_{i}$, of the boundarylayer type, are equal to zero outside of the region $D_{8}$. The estimate for the strip $D_{0}$ is carried out in exactly the same way as in the case of a circular plate [7].

Lemma 3.2. Let $a(x, y), b(x, y)$ and $c(x, y)$ be twice continuousiy differentiable functions in the region $D$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { 1) } \int_{D}[a, b] c d x d y=\int_{D}[a, c] b d x d y \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

if

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(A)=b(A)=0 \quad(A \in \Gamma) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

2) $\int_{D}[a, b] a d x d y=-\int_{D}\left(b_{x x} a_{y}{ }^{2}+b_{y y} a_{x}{ }^{2}-2 b_{x y} a_{x} a_{y}\right) d x d y$

1f $\quad a(A)=0(A \in \Gamma)$.
The proof of the lemma is easily furnished in both cases through integration by parts.

[^1]Theorem 3.1. The positive solution of problem (1.6) and (1.7) is unique.
$P{ }^{r} 0$ f We will take the equations of a membrane in the form (3.6) and (3.7), setting $\epsilon=0$ in (3.6) and neglecting the boundary condition for $w_{1}, \mathrm{n}$ in (3.7).

Let us assume the existence of two solutions $V^{(1)}$ and $V^{(2)}$. Then we have $P\left[V^{(1)}\right]=0$ and $P\left[V^{(2)}\right]=0$, Now we subtract one equality $f$ om the other and multipily by the difference $V^{(1)}-V^{(2)}$, then we integrate over the region $D$ and add. Applying Lemma 3.2 we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{1}\left[د\left(F_{1}^{(1)}-F_{1}^{(2)}\right)\right]^{2} d x d y+\int_{i)}\left[\left(F_{1}^{(1)}+F_{1}^{(2)}\right)_{x x}\left(w_{1}^{(1)}-u_{1}^{(2)}\right)_{y}^{2}+\left(F_{1}^{(1)}+F_{1}^{(2)}\right)_{y m}\right. \text { x } \\
& \left.\left.\lambda\left(w_{1}^{(1)}-w_{1}^{(2)}\right)_{x}^{2}-2\left(F_{1}^{(1)}+F_{1}^{(2)}\right)_{y y}\left(w_{1}^{(1)}-w_{1}^{(2)}\right)_{x}\left(w_{1}^{(1)}-w_{1}^{(2)}\right)_{j}\right] d!d x=1\right)\left(3,1 \theta_{1}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Bearing in mind that (1.9) is valid for both solutions $F_{1}^{(H)}$ and $F_{1}^{(2)}$, we arrive at the conclusion that the second integral in (3.13) is non-negative; hence $F^{(1)} \equiv F i^{(2)}$.

Theorem 3.2. If problem (1.6) and (1.7) has the positive solution, then problem (1.1) to (1.5) has one and only one membrane solution.
$P r o o f$. The uniqueness is proved in exactly the same way as the uniqueness of the positive solution for a membrane. To prove the existence of the membrane solution one applies a theorem due to Kantorovich [12] on the convergence of the Newton's method for the operator equations. The first approximation is taken as $\psi_{k}^{*} \equiv\left(\varphi_{h}-f, \psi_{h}\right)$. As applied to this problem the theorem has the following form.

Theorem. Let the operator $\mathbf{P}$ be defined in a sphere

$$
\Omega\left(\left\|\mathbf{V}-\mathbf{V}_{h} *\right\| \leqslant R\right)
$$

of the space $H$ and have a continuous second derivative in the closed sphere $\Omega_{0}\left(\left\|\mathbf{V}-\mathbf{V}_{k}^{*}\right\| \leqslant r\right)$ Moreover, let

1) the Inear operation $\mathrm{l}_{0}=\left[\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{V}}{ }^{*}(\mathrm{~V})\right]^{-1}$ exist
2) $\Gamma_{0}\left(\mathbf{P}\left[\mathbf{Y}_{k}{ }^{*}\right]\right) \|_{H} \leqslant \eta$
3) $\left\|\Gamma_{0}\left(\mathbf{P}^{\prime \prime}(\mathbf{V})\right)\right\|_{H} \leqslant K$
4) $h=K \eta \leqslant 1 / 2, r \geqslant r_{0}=(1-\sqrt{1-2 h}) h^{-1} \eta$

Then Equation (3.8) has the solution $V^{*}$ to which the process converges. Here

$$
\begin{equation*}
j \mathbf{v}-\mathbf{V}_{k}^{* \|_{I}} \leqslant r_{0} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conditions of the theorem are obviously satisfied if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathbf{P}\left(\mathbf{Y}_{k}^{*}\right)\right\|_{L_{2}}\left\|\left(\mathbf{P}^{\prime}{v_{k}}^{*}\right)^{-1}\right\|_{2}\left\|\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{v}^{\prime \prime}}\right\| \leqslant \leqslant^{1 / 4} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us show that (3.15) is fulfilled for sufficiently small for any $k>5$. From Lerma 3.1 we derive *

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathbf{P}\left(\mathbf{V}_{k} *\right)\right\| \approx m_{1} \varepsilon^{k+1} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

* Here and everywhere henceforth $m_{1}$ are certain constants, independent of $\varepsilon$.

In order to estimate the second factor in (3.15), let us consider the innear equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{V}_{k}}{ }^{*}(\delta \mathbf{V})=\mathbf{f}, \quad \delta \mathbf{V} \equiv(\delta F, \delta w), \quad \mathbf{f} \equiv\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)  \tag{3.17}\\
\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{v}_{k}^{\prime}}^{\prime *}(\delta \mathbf{V}) \equiv\left(\Delta^{2}(\delta F)+\left[\psi_{k}, \delta w\right], \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{2} \Delta^{2}(\delta w)-\left[\boldsymbol{\psi}_{k}, \delta F\right]-\left[\varphi_{k} ; \delta w\right]\right) \\
\left.\delta F\right|_{\Gamma}=\left.(\delta F)_{n}\right|_{\Gamma}=\left.\delta w\right|_{\Gamma}=\left.(\delta w)_{n}\right|_{\Gamma}=0  \tag{3.18}\\
\mathbf{f} \in L_{2}, \quad \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{v}_{k}}^{\prime} * \in\left[H \rightarrow L_{2}\right]
\end{gather*}
$$

From (3.17) and (3.18) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{D}(\triangle(\delta F))^{2} d x d y+\varepsilon^{2} \int_{D}(\triangle(\delta w))^{2} d x d y & +\int_{D}\left[\varphi_{k, x x}\left(\delta w_{y}\right)^{2}+\varphi_{k, y y}\left(\delta w_{x}\right)^{2}-\right. \\
- & \left.-2 \varphi_{k, x y} \delta w_{x} \delta w_{y}\right] d x d y=\int_{D}\left(f_{1} \delta F+f_{2} \delta w\right) d x d y \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us now prove that for sufificiently small $\varepsilon$ the last integral in (3.17) is positive. To show that we look at the second derivatives $\varphi_{k}, x x$, $\varphi_{k, y y}, \varphi_{k, x y}$. For instance, for $\varphi_{k, x x}$ we have

$$
\varphi_{k, x x}=F_{0, x x}+\sum_{s=1}^{k+2} \varepsilon^{s} F_{s, x x}+\sum_{s=0}^{k+2} \varepsilon^{s} h_{s, x x}
$$

Bearing in mind that $h_{0}=h_{1}=0$ and $\varepsilon^{2} h_{2, x x}=O(\varepsilon)$ (see (2.18)), we easily obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{k, x x}=F_{0, x x}+O(\varepsilon) \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the same manner we prove the validity of the relations

$$
\varphi_{k, y y}=F_{0, y y}+O(\varepsilon), \quad \varphi_{k, x y}=F_{0, x y}+O(\varepsilon)
$$

Now let us note that from (1.9) follows directly the estimate
$\int_{D}\left(F_{0, x x^{u}}{ }^{2}+F_{0, y y^{u}}{ }^{2}-2 F_{\left.0, x y^{u} x^{u} y_{y}\right) d x d y \geqslant m_{2} \int_{D}|\nabla u|^{2} d x d y \quad\left(m_{2}>0\right), ~(, ~) ~}^{D}\right.$
Finally, by means of (3.20) to (3.22) for sufficiently small $\varepsilon$
$\int_{D}\left[\varphi_{k, x x}\left(\delta w_{y}\right)^{2}+\varphi_{k, y y}\left(\delta w_{x}\right)^{2}-2 \varphi_{k, x y} \delta w_{x} \delta v_{y}\right] d x d y \geqslant m_{3} \int_{D}|\nabla \delta w|^{2} d x d y, \quad m_{3}>0$
Now, from (3.19), using (3.23), we derive

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\triangle(\delta F)\|_{L_{2}} \leqslant m_{4}\|\mathbf{f}\|_{L_{2}}, \quad\|\triangle(\delta w)\|_{L_{2}} \leqslant m_{4} / \varepsilon\|f\|_{L_{2}} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us also note the following inequalities for $\varphi_{k}$ and $\psi_{k}$ which easily follow from (3.20), (3.21) and (2.16)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P_{k}\right\|_{C^{(2)}} \leqslant m_{5}, \quad\left\|\Psi_{k}\right\|_{C^{(2)}} \leqslant m_{6} / \varepsilon \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, from (3.17) we obtain the estimates

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|\Delta^{2}(\delta F)\right\|_{L_{2}}^{2} \leqslant 2\left(\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{L_{2}}^{2}+\left\|\left[\delta w, \Psi_{k}\right]\right\|_{L_{2}}^{2}\right)  \tag{3.26}\\
\left\|\Delta^{2}(\delta w)\right\|_{L_{2}}^{2} \leqslant 3 / \varepsilon^{4}\left(\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{L_{2}}^{2}+\left\|\left[\delta w, \varphi_{k}\right]\right\|_{L_{2}}^{2}+\left\|\left[\delta F, \psi_{k}\right]\right\|_{L_{2}}{ }^{2}\right) \tag{3.27}
\end{gather*}
$$

Hence, using the results from [17] and the estimates (3.24), (3.25) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\delta F\|_{H} \leqslant m_{7} / \varepsilon^{2}\|\mathbf{f}\|_{L_{2}} \quad\|\delta w\|_{H} \leqslant m_{8} / \varepsilon^{3}\|\mathbf{f}\|_{L_{2}} \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.17) and (3.28) it is easy to show that the operator $P_{\mathbf{v}_{k^{*}}^{\prime}}$ is invertible and that the following estimate is valid

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\|\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{v}_{k}^{*}}\right)^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \| \leqslant m_{9} / \varepsilon^{3} \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

To form an estimate of $\left\|P_{\mathbf{v}}{ }^{\prime}\right\|$ we consider the bilinear form

$$
\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{V}}{ }^{\prime \prime}(\delta \mathbf{V})\left(\delta_{1} \mathbf{V}\right)^{\mathbf{3}}=\left(\left[\delta w, \delta_{1} w\right],-\left[\delta w, \delta_{\mathbf{1}} F\right]-\left[\delta_{1}, w, \delta F\right]\right)
$$

Using the insertion theorems [18] we derive

$$
\left\|\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{v}}{ }^{\prime \prime}(\delta \mathbf{V})\left(\delta_{1} \mathbf{V}\right)\right\|_{L_{2}}^{2} \leqslant m_{10}\|\delta V\|_{H}^{2}\left\|\delta_{1} V\right\|_{H}^{2}
$$

and hence follows the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{v}}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right\| \leqslant m_{\mathbf{1 1}} \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.16), (3.29) and (3.30) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathbf{P}\left(\mathbf{V}_{k}^{*}\right)\right\|_{L_{2}}\left\|\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{V}_{k}}{ }^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\right\|^{2}\left\|\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{V}^{\prime \prime}}\right\| \leqslant m_{12} \varepsilon^{k-5}<1 / 2 \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that $k>5$ and $\varepsilon$ is sufficiently small ( $0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{1}$ ).
And so the conditions of the Kantorovich theorem are fulfilled. Therefore, Equation (3.8), equivalent to the problem (1.1) to (1.6), has the solution $V^{*} \equiv\left(F_{1}{ }^{*}, w_{1}{ }^{*}\right)$ for which the estimates (3.14) are valid. We compute the quantity $r_{0}$ by means of (3.16) and (3.29)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathbf{V}^{*}-\mathbf{V}_{k}^{*}\right\| \leqslant m_{13} \mathrm{e}^{k-2} \quad(k>5) \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to the insertion theorems [18] we have from (3.32)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|z_{k}^{(i)}\right\|_{C^{(l)}}<m_{14} \varepsilon^{k-2} \quad(k>5, \quad i=1,2, \quad l=0,1,2) \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, from (3.33) for $1=2, t=1$, using (3.20), (3.21) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{x x}=F_{0, x x}+O(\varepsilon), \quad F_{y y}=F_{0, y y}+O(\varepsilon), \quad F_{x y}=F_{0, x y}+O(\varepsilon) \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from here that the inequality (1.9) is valid. This means that the soluiion $V^{*}$ constructed above is a membrane solution. Theorem 3.2 is thus proved. Along with the proof of Theorem 3.2 the following coroliaries have been arrived at.

Theorem 3.3. For the membrane solution of problem (1.1) to (1.6) the valid asymptotic representations are given by (2.1) and the remainders allow the following estimates

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left\|z_{k}^{(1)}\right\|_{C^{(l)}} \leqslant m_{15} \varepsilon^{k+1} & (k=0,1,2, \ldots, l=0,1,2) \\
\left\|z_{k}^{(2)}\right\|_{C^{(1)}} \leqslant m_{16} \varepsilon^{k+1} & (k=0,1, \ldots) \\
\left\|z_{k}^{(2)}\right\|_{C^{(2)}} \leqslant m_{17} \varepsilon^{k} & (k=1,2, \ldots)
\end{array}
$$

The estimates (3.35) to (3.37) follow directly from (3.33) by means of the triangle inequality, and bearing in mind that each differentacion of functions $h_{0}, 0,0$ of the boundary-layer type lowers their order in $\varepsilon$ by one.
4. On the membrane equations. The existence theorems for the positive solutions of problem (1.6), (1.7) will be obtained below for certain cases.

1. Consider a circular-symmetrically loaded membrane. Let the $x$-axis coincide with the direction of the radius vector for $\varphi=0$. Then, taking advantage of the radial symmetry and eliminating the function $w_{0}, r$ from (1.6) we obtain Equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-r \frac{d}{d r} \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{d r} r v=\frac{\varphi^{2}}{2 v^{2}},\left.\quad \frac{v}{r}\right|_{r=0}<\infty, \quad \varphi(r)=\int_{0}^{r} q(t) t d t \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $v=F_{0, r}$ is the radial force. The boundary conditions are determined by the manner in which the membrane is supported along the contour. We designate the stresses in the middle surface acting along the radius and along the arc by $\sigma_{r}$ and $\sigma_{\varphi}$, respectively. The latter are expressed in terms of the stress function $F_{0} \varphi_{\text {by }}$ Formulas

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\frac{1}{r} F_{0, r}, \quad \sigma_{\varphi}=F_{0, r r} \quad(\tau=0) \tag{4,2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here (1.9) becomes the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{r} \sigma_{\varphi}>0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

a) Let the membrane be rigidly built-in along the contour

$$
\begin{equation*}
d v / d r-\left.(v / r)_{v}\right|_{r=1}=0 \quad(0<v<0.5) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4.1. The problem (4.1) and (4.4) has the positive solution.

Proof. The existence of the solution of proolem (4.1), (4.4) has been proved in [7]. It remains to prove (4.3). To that end we transfer from (4.1), (4.4) to an equivalent integral equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \sigma_{r}=v=\frac{1}{2} r^{-1} J(r, 1)+r \frac{1+v}{2(1-v)} J(1,1) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following designation has been introduced here

$$
\begin{gather*}
J(r, s)=\int_{0}^{r} \eta \int_{n}^{s} \frac{\varphi^{2}}{\xi v^{2}} d \xi d \eta \\
\text { Differentiating (4.5) with respect to } r \text { we obtain } \\
\qquad \sigma_{\varphi}=-\frac{1}{2} r^{-2} J(r, 1)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{r}^{1} \frac{\varphi^{2}}{\xi v^{2}} d \xi+\frac{1+v}{2(1-v)} J(1,1) \tag{4.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

In the interval $[0,1]$ Expression $\Phi(r)=-1 / 2 r^{-2 J}(r, 1)$ is a decreasing function in $r$, since $\Phi(0)=0$ and

$$
\partial \Phi / \partial r=r^{-9} J(r, r)
$$

Therefore, the minimum value of $\Phi(r)$ is attained at point $r=1$. Furthermore, obviously

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.|\Phi(r)| \leqslant|\Phi(1)| \leqslant \frac{1+v}{2(1-v)} J \quad 1,1\right) \quad(0<v<0.5) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, from (4.6) with the help of (4.7) we find that $\sigma_{\varphi}>0$, if $r \in(0,1]$. It is evident that $\sigma_{r}$ is positive and the condition (4.3) is fulfilled.

Corollary l. The symmetrical solution of the problem of large deflections of a circular-symmetrically loaded plate, rigidly built-in along the contour is a membrane solution. (This follows from Theorem 3.2). It has been proved in [10] that in the case of a circular-symmetrically loaded plate, rigidly built-in along the contour, for sufficiently large $q(r)$ a nonsymmetrical solution appears along with the symmetrical one. From the corollary 1 and Theorem 3.2 follows.

Corollary 2 . The nonsymmetrical solution will not be a membrane solution.
b) Let the membrane be subjected to a uniform normal tension on the contour

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.v\right|_{r=1}=T \geqslant 0 \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case when $T=0$, the contour is free of stresses.
The orem 4.2. Let the following inequality be fulfilled

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{\prime}>T_{0}=\max _{0 \leqslant r \leqslant 1}\left(\frac{1}{2 r^{2}} \Phi(r)\right)^{1 / 3} \quad\left(\mathrm{D}(r)=\int_{0}^{r} \frac{\varphi^{2}(\tau)}{\tau} d \tau\right) \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then problem (4.1), (4.8) has a unique positive solution.
$\mathrm{P} r \circ \circ \mathrm{f}$. The existence and uniqueness of solution of problem (4.1), (4.8) have been proved in [7]. Let us fir. when condition (4.3) is vaild. For that we transfer to an equivalent integral equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \sigma_{r}=v \quad \frac{r}{2} \int_{r}^{1} \Psi(t) \frac{d t}{t^{2}}+\operatorname{Tr} \quad\left(\Psi(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\tau \varphi^{2}(\tau)}{v^{2}} d \tau\right) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (4.9) with respect to $r$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\varphi}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{r}^{1} \Psi(t) \frac{d t}{t^{3}}-\frac{1}{2 r^{2}} \Psi(r)+T \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\cdot T>0$. Then from (4.10) we find that $v \geqslant T_{r}$. Utilizing this we find from (4.11) that if $1 / 2 \Phi(r) \underset{T^{3} r^{2}}{ }$, then $\sigma_{\varphi}$ is positive. Therefore, when $T>T_{0}$, condition (4.3) is fulfilled and the solution is positive.
$\mathrm{C} \circ \mathrm{rol} \mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{l}} \mathrm{a} \mathrm{r} \quad 1$. If $0 \leqslant T<T_{0}$, the solution of problem (4.1), (4.8) will not be positive.

Corollary 2 . If $T>T_{0}$, then the symmetrical solution for a circular symmetrically-loaded plate subjected to the tension $T$ on the contour will be a membrane solution. If, however, $0 \leqslant T<T_{0}$, the symmertical solution will not be a membrane one.

But if we restrict ourselves to the set of functions which depend on only and if we consider a solution which satisfies the condition $\sigma_{r} \geqslant 0$, to be the membrane solution, then it can be shown that the symmetrical solution will be the membrane one for any $T \geqslant 0$ [7]. The results giver in [7] and other, somewhat more exact ones, can be obtained by means of Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 which were proved for the one-dimensional case in the investigation of the asymptotic expansions of the solutions of symmetrically loaded shells of revolution [13]. In this connection it is important to point out the following fact. The justification of validity of the asymptotic expansion is not related to the method by which it was constructed. The fulfillment of the estimates (3.16), (3.29) and (3.15) turns out to be the only essential matter.
2. Let the following stresses be given on the boundary of a membrane of arbitrary shape

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.F_{0, \pi}\right|_{\mathrm{i}}=a \cos ^{2} \theta+b \sin ^{2} \theta, \quad F_{0, n}=\left.\right|_{\mathrm{r}}=1 / 2(b-a) \sin 2 \theta  \tag{4.12}\\
(a>0, \quad b>0)
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $\theta=\theta(A)$ is the angle which the normal $n$ forms with the $x$-axis. In the case when $a=b=0$, Problem (1.1) to (1.4) and (4.12) becomes problem (1.1) to (1.4) and (1.8), which was investigated by Fife in [8].

Let us transform the initial equations. We set

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}=F_{0} \sigma^{1}, \quad F=F_{1}-1 / 2\left(a_{1} x^{2}+b_{1} y^{2}\right) \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{1}=a_{\sigma^{-1 / 2}}>0, \quad b_{1}=b \sigma^{-1 / 2}>0, \quad \sigma=1 / 2\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right) \\
w=w_{0} \sigma^{-1 / 2}, \quad p=q \sigma^{-3,2}, \quad \varepsilon_{1}=\varepsilon \sigma^{-1 / 2} \tag{4.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then (1.6) and (4.12) becomes problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta^{2} F+1 / 2[w, w]=0, \quad-a_{1} w_{x x}-b_{1} w_{y y}-[F, w]-p=0  \tag{4.15}\\
w(A)=F(A)=F_{n}(A)=0, \quad(A \in \Gamma) \tag{4.16}
\end{gather*}
$$

Repeating the argument of [8] it is easy to prove the existence of solutions of problem (4.15), (4.16) for sufficiently small $p(x, y)$. The proof is obtained by utilizing the spaces $B^{l, \alpha}$ of furictions $f$, determined in $z$ with continuous derivatives of order 1 , which uniformly satisfy H8lder's condition with the exponent $a(0<\alpha<1)$ along the entire contour with the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{l+\alpha}=\|f\|_{\bar{B}^{l, \alpha}}=\sup \left|D^{l} f\right|+\sup \frac{\left|D^{l} f\left(N_{1}\right)-D^{l} f\left(N_{2}\right)\right|}{\left|N_{1}-N_{2}\right|^{\alpha}} \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is assumed here that $N_{1}=\left(\varphi_{1}, n\right), N_{2}=\left(\varphi_{2}, n\right)$ and the uppe: bound is taken over all points $N_{1} \neq N_{2}$ from $D$ and over all deivaticui of order 1 .

Theorem 4.3. Let $\|p\|_{l+\alpha}<p_{0}$. Then, if $p_{0}$ is sufficiently small, there exists a solution of problem (4.15), (4.16), such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|F\|_{l+4+\alpha}+\|w\|_{l+2+\alpha} \leqslant\|p\|_{l+\alpha}^{1 / 2} \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof * . Let us define the sequence $F^{1}$, $w^{1}$ by formulas

$$
F^{\circ}=w^{\circ}=0
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{2} F^{i}=-1 / 2\left[w^{i-1}, w^{i-1}\right] \quad(i=1,2, \ldots) \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-a_{1} w_{x x}^{i}-b_{1} w_{y y}^{i}=p(x, y)+\left[F^{i-1}, w^{i-1}\right] \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{i}(A)=F^{i}(A)=F_{n}{ }^{i}(A)=0 \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, we introduce the designations

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{i}=\left\|F^{i}\right\|_{l+4+\alpha}+\left\|w^{i}\right\|_{l+2+\alpha} \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

By means of arguments of [8] and also the theorem 7.3 of [19], it can be shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{i}<C_{1}\left(A_{i-1}^{2}+\|p\|_{l+\alpha}\right) \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we choose the constant $C_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{0}<1 / 4 C_{1}{ }^{2} \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, it follows from (4.23) and (4.24) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{i} \leqslant\|p\|_{l+\alpha}^{1 / 2} \quad(i=1,2, \ldots) \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to show that the sequences $F^{1}, w^{1}$ converge to tre solution, we determine the differences

[^2]\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{i} F=F^{i}-F^{i-1}, \quad \delta^{i} w=w^{i}-w^{i-1} \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Now we replace the Index $t$ by $t-1$ in Equations (4.19) to (4.21) and subtract the resulting equations from the original ones. Using Theorem 7.3 from [19] and (4.25) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\delta^{i} F\right\|_{l+4+\alpha}+\left\|\delta^{i} w\right\|_{l+2+\alpha}<C_{2}\|p\|_{l+\alpha}^{1 / 2}\left(\left\|\delta^{i-1} F\right\|_{l+4+\alpha}+\left\|\delta^{i-1} w\right\|_{l+2+\alpha}\right) \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (4.27) that in order for the sequence to converge to the solution within the corresponding norms, the following condition must be fulfilled

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2} \sqrt{p_{0}}<1 \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this and from (4.24) it is clear that if $p_{0}$ is sufficiently small the solution of the problem (4.15), (4.16) exists and (4.18) is fulfilled.

The following theorem results from the estimate (4.18).
Theorem 4.4 . If $\sqrt{p_{0}}<d=\min \left(1 /{ }_{2} a_{1}, 1 / 2 b_{1}\right)$, then the solution of problem (1.6), (4.12) will be positive.

Proof . It is easy to derive the following inequalities from (4.18)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|F_{x x}\right|<d, \quad\left|F_{y y}\right|<d, \quad\left|F_{x y}\right|<d \tag{429}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, bearing (4.13) in mind, we obtain for $F_{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{0, x x}>1 / 2 a \sigma^{1 / 2}, \quad F_{0, y y}>1 / 2 b \sigma^{1 / 2}, \quad F_{0, x x} F_{0, y y}-F_{0, x y}^{2}>0 \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case when $a=b=0$, we have to set $p_{0}=\frac{1}{4}$.
$\mathrm{C} 0 \mathrm{r} \circ \mathrm{l} \mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{r} \mathrm{y}$. If $\|F\|_{1 / 2}^{1 / 2}<d$, then the solution of problem (1.1) to (1.4) and (4.12) is the membrane solution.
3. As an example let us consider a circular membrane subjected on the contour to the nonsymmetrical tensile stresses of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\sigma_{r}\right|_{r=1}=a+b \sin ^{2} \varphi,\left.\quad \tau\right|_{r=1}=0 \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $a$ and $b$ are constants which satisfy the condition $a>0$ if

$$
b>0 \text { and } a>|b|>0, \text { if } b<0 .
$$

Equations (1.6) and (4.31) can be reduced to the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta^{2} F+1 / 2[w, w]=0, \quad-L w-[F, w]-q=0  \tag{4.32}\\
\left.w\right|_{r=1}=\left.F\right|_{r=1}=\dot{\partial} F /\left.\partial r\right|_{r=1}=0 \tag{4.33}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $L$ is the elliptic operator. This can be done by setting

$$
F=F_{0}-1 / 2 a r^{2}-1 / 4 r^{2} b\left(1+\cos 2 \varphi-1 / 3 r^{2} \cos 2 \varphi\right), \quad w=w_{0}
$$

Now, applying the arguments of Theorems 4.3 and 4.4 to (4.32) and (4.33) we establish the following Theorem.

Theorem 4.5. There exists a constant $p_{0}$, such that if $\|q\|_{l+\alpha}<p_{0}$, the solution of problem (1.6), (4.31) is positive.

In the general case of the problem (1.6), (1.7) the solution is not positive. As an example consider a circular membrane subjected at the ends of a diameter to two radial concentrated loads $P$, whereas the transverse loads are absent. Then (1.6) transfers into Equation

$$
\Delta^{2} F_{0}=0
$$

The formulas for $\sigma_{r}, \delta_{\varphi}$ and $\tau$, as well as the graphs of these functions plotted versus $r$ and $\varphi$, are given in [21] (p.612) for this particular case. It is clear from the graphs that $\sigma_{r}$ and $\sigma_{\varphi}$ change their signs and hence do not possess the property of being positive. The statement of the corollary 1 of Theorem 4.2 may serve as another example.

The author expresses gratitude to V.I. Iudovich for his great help in this work.
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[^0]:    * The case of a rigidly built-in plate has been chosen merely for the sake of definiteness: what follows can be easily applied to some other common cases, e.g. hinge supports.

[^1]:    * The condition $f(\varepsilon)=O\left(\varepsilon^{k+1}\right)$ means that $|f(\varepsilon)| \leqslant m \varepsilon^{\dot{k+1}}$.

[^2]:    * For $a_{1}=b_{1}=1$ Theorem 4.3 was proved in [8] (Theorem 2).

